

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK**

----- X  
ALFRED TRIPPETT, on behalf of himself and all :  
others similarly situated, :

Plaintiffs, :

v. :

Acupuncture Corporation of America, Inc., :

Defendant. :

Case no. 1:25-cv-1199

CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT  
AND  
DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

----- X

**INTRODUCTION**

1. Plaintiff, ALFRED TRIPPETT (hereinafter “Plaintiff” or “TRIPPETT”), brings this action on behalf of himself and all other persons similarly situated against Acupuncture Corporation of America, Inc. (hereinafter “Acupuncture Corporation of America” or “Defendant”), and states as follows:
2. Plaintiff is a visually-impaired and legally blind person who requires screen-reading software to read website content using his computer. Plaintiff uses the terms “blind” or “visually-impaired” to refer to all people with visual impairments who meet the legal definition of blindness in that they have a visual acuity with correction of less than or equal to 20 x 200. Some blind people who meet this definition have limited vision; others have no vision.
3. Based on a 2010 U.S. Census Bureau report, approximately 8.1 million people in the United States are visually impaired, including 2.0 million who are blind, and according to the American Foundation for the Blind’s 2015 report, approximately 400,000 visually impaired persons live in the State of New York.

4. Plaintiff brings this civil rights action against Acupuncture Corporation of America for their failure to design, construct, maintain, and operate their website to be fully accessible to and independently usable by Plaintiff and other blind or visually-impaired persons. Defendant is denying blind and visually impaired persons throughout the United States with equal access to the goods and services Acupuncture Corporation of America provides to their non-disabled customers through <https://acaacupuncture.com> (hereinafter “Acaacupuncture.com” or “the website”). Defendant’s denial of full and equal access to its website, and therefore denial of its products and services offered, and in conjunction with its physical locations, is a violation of Plaintiff’s rights under the Americans with Disabilities Act (the “ADA”).
5. Acaacupuncture.com provides to the public a wide array of the goods, services, price specials and other programs offered by Acupuncture Corporation of America. Yet, Acaacupuncture.com contains significant access barriers that make it difficult if not impossible for blind and visually-impaired customers to use the website. In fact, the access barriers make it impossible for blind and visually-impaired users to even complete a transaction on the website. Thus, Acupuncture Corporation of America excludes the blind and visually-impaired from the full and equal participation in the growing Internet economy that is increasingly a fundamental part of the common marketplace and daily living. In the wave of technological advances in recent years, assistive computer technology is becoming an increasingly prominent part of everyday life, allowing blind and visually-impaired persons to fully and independently access a variety of services.
6. The blind have an even greater need than the sighted to shop and conduct transactions online due to the challenges faced in mobility. The lack of an accessible website means that blind people are

excluded from experiencing transacting with Defendant's website and from purchasing goods or services from Defendant's website.

7. Despite readily available accessible technology, such as the technology in use at other heavily trafficked retail websites, which makes use of alternative text, accessible forms, descriptive links, resizable text and limits the usage of tables and JavaScript, Defendant has chosen to rely on an exclusively visual interface. Acupuncture Corporation of America's sighted customers can independently browse, select, and buy online without the assistance of others. However, blind persons must rely on sighted companions to assist them in accessing and purchasing on Acaacupuncture.com.
8. By failing to make the website accessible to blind persons, Defendant is violating basic equal access requirements under both state and federal law.
9. Congress provided a clear and national mandate for the elimination of discrimination against individuals with disabilities when it enacted the ADA. Such discrimination includes barriers to full integration, independent living, and equal opportunity for persons with disabilities, including those barriers created by websites and other public accommodations that are inaccessible to blind and visually impaired persons. Similarly, New York state law requires places of public accommodation to ensure access to goods, services, and facilities by making reasonable accommodations for persons with disabilities.
10. Plaintiff browsed and intended to make an appointment on Acaacupuncture.com. Despite his efforts, however, Plaintiff was denied an online experience like that of a sighted individual due to the Website's lack of a variety of features and accommodations. Unless Defendant remedies the

numerous access barriers on its website, Plaintiff and Class members will continue to be unable to independently navigate, browse, use, and make appointments on Acaacupuncture.com.

11. Because Defendant's website, Acaacupuncture.com, is not equally accessible to blind and visually-impaired consumers, it violates the ADA. Plaintiff seeks a permanent injunction to cause a change in Acupuncture Corporation of America's policies, practices, and procedures to that Defendant's website will become and remain accessible to blind and visually-impaired consumers. This complaint also seeks compensatory damages to compensate Class members for having been subjected to unlawful discrimination.

### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

12. This Court has subject-matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. § 1331 and 42 U.S.C. § 12181, as Plaintiff's claims arise under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181, et seq., and 28 U.S.C. § 1332. This Court also has supplemental jurisdiction over pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367, over Plaintiff's pendent claims under the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law, Article 15 (Executive Law § 290 et seq.) and the New York City Human Rights Law, N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-101 et seq. ("City Law").
13. Venue is proper in this District of New York pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1391(b)(c) and 144(a) because Defendant conducts and continues to conduct a substantial and significant amount of business in this District, and a substantial portion of the conduct complained of herein occurred in this District because Plaintiff attempted to utilize, on a number of occasions, the subject Website within this Judicial District.

14. Defendant is registered to do business in Delaware State and has also been conducting business in New York State, including in this District. Defendant purposefully targets and otherwise solicits business from New York State residents through its website. Because of this targeting, it is not unusual for Acupuncture Corporation of America to conduct business with New York State residents. Defendant also has been and is committing the acts alleged herein in this District and has been and is violating the rights of consumers in this District and has been and is causing injury to consumers in this District. A substantial part of the act and omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims have occurred in this District. Most courts support the placement of venue in the district in which Plaintiff tried and failed to access the Website. In *Access Now, Inc. v. Otter Products, LLC* 280 F.Supp.3d 287 (D. Mass. 2017), Judge Patti B. Saris ruled that "although the website may have been created and operated outside of the district, the attempts to access the website in Massachusetts are part of the sequence of events underlying the claim. Therefore, venue is proper in [the District of Massachusetts]." *Otter Prods.*, 280 F.Supp.3d at 294. This satisfies Due Process because the harm – the barred access to the website – occurred here." *Otter Prods.*, 280 F.Supp.3d at 293.

Additionally, in *Access Now, Inc. v. Sportswear, Inc.*, No. 17-cv-11211-NMG, 2018 Dist. LEXIS 47318 (D. Mass. Mar. 22, 2018), Judge Nathaniel M. Gorton stated that the defendant "availed itself of the forum state's economic activities by targeting the residents of the Commonwealth . . . Such targeting evinces a voluntary attempt to appeal to the customer base in the forum." *Sportswear*, No. 1:17-cv-11211-NMG, 2018 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 47318 at \*11. Thus, establishing a customer base in a particular district is sufficient cause for venue placement.

### **PARTIES**

15. Plaintiff, is and has been at all relevant times a resident of New York County, State of New York.

16. Plaintiff is legally blind and a member of a protected class under the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12102(1)-(2), the regulations implementing the ADA set forth at 28 CFR §§ 36.101 et seq., the New York State Human Rights Law and the New York City Human Rights Law. Plaintiff, ALFRED TRIPPETT, cannot use a computer without the assistance of screen reader software. Plaintiff has been denied the full enjoyment of the facilities, goods and services of Acaacupuncture.com as a result of accessibility barriers on Acaacupuncture.com.
17. Defendant, Acupuncture Corporation of America, Inc., is a Delaware Corporation doing business in this State with its principal place of business located at 613 West 169th Street, New York, NY 10032, being represented by its agent, Vcorp Services, LLC, located at 1013 Centre Road, Wilmington, DE 19805.
18. Acupuncture Corporation of America provides to the public a website known as Acaacupuncture.com which provides consumers with access to an array of goods and services, including, the ability to explore traditional Chinese medicinal services such as acupuncture, massage, cupping, reflexology, physiotherapy treatment, and Chinese herbal medicine. Consumers across the United States use Defendant's website to make appointments of traditional Chinese medicine services. Defendant's website is a place of public accommodation within the definition of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12181(7). See *Victor Andrews v. Blick Art Materials, LLC*, No. 17-cv-767, 2017 WL 3278898 (E.D.N.Y. August 1, 2017). The inaccessibility of Acaacupuncture.com has deterred Plaintiff from making an appointment for acupuncture procedure.

**NATURE OF THE CASE**

19. The Internet has become a significant source of information, a portal, and a tool for conducting business, doing everyday activities such as shopping, learning, banking, researching, as well as many other activities for sighted, blind and visually-impaired persons alike.
20. The blind access websites by using keyboards in conjunction with screen-reading software which vocalizes visual information on a computer screen. Except for a blind person whose residual vision is still sufficient to use magnification, screen access software provides the only method by which a blind person can independently access the Internet. Unless websites are designed to allow for use in this manner, blind persons are unable to fully access Internet websites and the information, products and services contained therein.
21. For screen-reading software to function, the information on a website must be capable of being rendered into text. If the website content is not capable of being rendered into text, the blind user is unable to access the same content available to sighted users.
22. Blind users of Windows operating system-enabled computers and devices have several screen-reading software programs available to them. NonVisual Desktop Access, otherwise known as “NVDA”, is currently one of the most popular, and downloaded screen-reading software programs available for blind computer users.
23. The international website standards organization, the World Wide Web Consortium, known throughout the world as W3C, has published version 2.2 of the Web Content Accessibility Guidelines (“WCAG 2.2”). WCAG 2.2 are well-established guidelines for making websites accessible to blind and visually-impaired persons. These guidelines are universally followed by

most large business entities and government agencies to ensure their websites are accessible. Many Courts have also established WCAG 2.2 as the standard guideline for accessibility. The federal government has also promulgated website accessibility standards under Section 508 of the Rehabilitation Act. These guidelines are readily available via the Internet, so that a business designing a website can easily access them. These guidelines recommend several basic components for making websites accessible, including but not limited to: adding invisible alt-text to graphics, ensuring that all functions can be performed using a keyboard and not just a mouse, ensuring that image maps are accessible, and adding headings so that blind persons can easily navigate the site. Without these very basic components, a website will be inaccessible to a blind person using a screen reader. Websites need to be accessible to the “least sophisticated” user of screen-reading software and need to be able to work with all browsers. Websites need to be continually updated and maintained to ensure that they remain fully accessible.

### **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

24. Defendant controls and operates Acaacupuncture.com in New York State and throughout the United States.
25. Acaacupuncture.com is an online platform that offers services for online use. The website allows the user to explore traditional Chinese medicine services and perform a variety of other functions.
26. Among the features offered by Acaacupuncture.com are the following:
  - a) Customers may find information about clinic locations and hours of operation, purchase gift cards, as well as information about the services in its physical locations, and find information about available offers and programs;



- b) Consumers may use the website to connect with Acupuncture Corporation of America, Inc. on various social media platforms, including Instagram, Twitter, Facebook, TikTok;
- c) Learning about patient helpful information, reading blog posts, and learning about the company, amongst other features.

27. This case arises out of Acupuncture Corporation of America's policy and practice of denying the blind access to the goods and services offered by Acaacupuncture.com. Due to Acupuncture Corporation of America's failure and refusal to remove access barriers to Acaacupuncture.com, blind individuals have been and are being denied equal access to Acupuncture Corporation of America, as well as to the numerous goods, services and benefits offered to the public through Acaacupuncture.com.
28. Acupuncture Corporation of America denies the blind access to goods, services and information made available through Acaacupuncture.com by preventing them from freely navigating Acaacupuncture.com.
29. Acaacupuncture.com contains access barriers that prevent free and full use by Plaintiff and blind persons using keyboards and screen-reading software. These barriers are pervasive and include, but are not limited to: inaccurate landmark structure, incorrectly formatted lists, ambiguous link texts, unclear labels for interactive elements, the denial of keyboard access for some interactive elements, inaccessible drop-down menus, the lack of navigation links, and the requirement that transactions be performed solely with a mouse.
30. Alternative text ("Alt-text") is invisible code embedded beneath a graphical image on a website. Web accessibility requires that alt-text be coded with each picture so that a screen-reader can speak

the alternative text while sighted users see the picture. Alt-text does not change the visual presentation except that it appears as a text pop-up when the mouse moves over the picture. There are many important pictures on Acaacupuncture.com that lack a text equivalent. The lack of alt-text on these graphics prevents screen readers from accurately vocalizing a description of the graphics (screen-readers detect and vocalize alt-text to provide a description of the image to a blind computer user). As a result, Plaintiff and blind Acaacupuncture.com customers are unable to determine what is on the website, browse the website or investigate and/or make appointments.

31. Acaacupuncture.com also lacks prompting information and accommodations necessary to allow blind users who use screen-readers to locate and accurately fill-out online forms. Due to lack of adequate labeling, Plaintiff and blind customers cannot make inquiries as to Defendant's services, nor can they enter their personal identification and financial information with confidence and security.
32. When visiting the Website, Plaintiff, using NVDA, encountered the following specific accessibility issues:
  - a) "Skip to content" link was inaccurately implemented on the website. When Plaintiff intended to skip the Navigation menu and activated the bypass block element, it did not move keyboard focus to the main content, Plaintiff being forced to tab through all the sub-menu links of the Navigation region;
  - b) Landmarks were not properly inserted into the home page. Plaintiff tried to navigate the home page using landmarks but could not access its main regions because of landmark inaccurate markup;
  - c) Landmark structure was incorrectly defined. Due to repetitive landmark labels, it was

difficult for Plaintiff to understand the page section they led to;

- d) Sub-menu elements which contained drop-down menus could not be accessed from the keyboard. Plaintiff tried to use “tab” or “arrow” keys to no avail. The website had functionality that was dependent on the specific devices such as a mouse, and was not available to the legally blind users;
- e) The Navigation sub-menus with drop-down lists did not announce their state - “collapsed” or “expanded”. Plaintiff could not determine if the links were skipped;
- f) Plaintiff encountered incorrectly marked up lists of locations on the website. They had visual appearance of lists but were incorrectly programmatically formatted and did not announce the number of elements included in them. Thus, Plaintiff was unaware that different location links were included in the same page section;
- g) Plaintiff was forced to repeatedly tab through elements with the same destination: the link text of products conveyed similar information and led to the same destinations as interactive images above the links;
- h) Several links had ambiguous texts that were unclear to Plaintiff. Lack of detailed description of the link target and destination page made it difficult for Plaintiff to perceive their purpose;
- i) Interactive elements that behaved as "buttons" were not programmatically written correctly. Instead of using a "role" attribute, they were built by tags such as `<span>`, `<div>`, `<a>`, or others. As a result, the screen reader software read the incorrectly constructed element and Plaintiff was confused, because of receiving ambiguous information about the element in focus;
- j) Interactive elements from the website could not be focused with the Tab key. The

website did not provide helpful instructions on how to access the interactive element using arrow keys. Plaintiff did not know about the interactive element from the page;

- k) Interactive elements were not programmatically associated with their <label> elements. Plaintiff did not understand the purpose of the interactive element on the page as its name was not announced.

Consequently, blind customers are essentially prevented from making appointments on Acaacupuncture.com.

33. Acaacupuncture.com requires the use of a mouse to complete a transaction. Yet, it is a fundamental tenet of web accessibility that for a web page to be accessible to Plaintiff and blind people, it must be possible for the user to interact with the page using only the keyboard. Indeed, Plaintiff and blind users cannot use a mouse because manipulating the mouse is a visual activity of moving the mouse pointer from one visual spot on the page to another. Thus, Acaacupuncture.com's inaccessible design, which requires the use of a mouse to complete a transaction, denies Plaintiff and blind customers the ability to independently navigate and/or make appointments on Acaacupuncture.com.
34. Due to Acaacupuncture.com's inaccessibility, Plaintiff and blind customers must in turn spend time, energy, and/or money to make their appointments at traditional brick-and mortar locations. Some blind customers may require a driver to get to the clinics or require assistance in navigating the clinics. By contrast, if Acaacupuncture.com was accessible, a blind person could independently investigate services and make appointments via the Internet as sighted individuals can and do. According to WCAG 2.2 Guideline 4. 1. 2 "Name, Role, Value", all user interface components require a programmatically determinable name. The accessible name of interactive elements (buttons, check boxes, radio buttons etc.) enables compatibility with assistive technology, such as

screen readers, screen magnifiers, and speech recognition software, used by people with disabilities. In case the accessible name is not provided, some users will not be able to identify the purpose of the form control. Thus, Acupuncture Corporation of America has inaccessible design that deprives the Plaintiff and blind customers of the opportunity to make appointments on Acaacupuncture.com on their own.

35. Acaacupuncture.com thus contains access barriers which deny the full and equal access to Plaintiff, who would otherwise use Acaacupuncture.com and who would otherwise be able to fully and equally enjoy the benefits and services of Acaacupuncture.com in New York State and throughout the United States.
36. ALFRED TRIPPETT intended to make an appointment on the Defendant's website. He became interested in traditional Chinese medicine, widely recognized for its preventative and healing approaches. He wanted to visit a place specializing in these wellness procedures to reduce stress and promote overall well-being. On February 3, 2025, he came across the Defendant's website, Acaacupuncture.com, and tried to analyze their services and make an online reservation. During his browsing experience, he encountered several accessibility barriers. The 'skip to content' link was misimplemented, forcing him to navigate through all the navigation sections he intended to skip. Additionally, the required checkboxes on the appointment form were unclearly described, further hindering his ability to complete his appointment request. These issues created significant challenges in his user experience, particularly in accessing and booking the services he was interested in.
37. Moreover, Plaintiff will visit the website again immediately upon Defendant correcting the numerous accessibility barriers on it. Plaintiff, is interested in exploring traditional Chinese

medicine services. He wishes to visit the Defendant's physical location at 613 West 169th Street, New York, NY 10032, which is located within 1 mile of his home. ALFRED TRIPPETT seeks to experience the potential benefits of visiting the Defendant's clinic, including personalized treatment plans and the opportunity to consult with experts in traditional Chinese medicine. Additionally, he is interested in experiencing the holistic services offered, such as traditional Chinese massage, and herbal therapies, all created to promote overall well-being. If the Court does not intervene, Plaintiff's injury will continue, as he still wants to make an appointment on the website.

38. As described above, Plaintiff has actual knowledge of the fact that Defendant's website, Acaacupuncture.com, contains access barriers causing the website to be inaccessible, and not independently usable by, blind and visually-impaired persons.
39. These barriers to access have denied Plaintiff full and equal access to, and enjoyment of, the goods, benefits and services of Acaacupuncture.com.
40. Defendant engaged in acts of intentional discrimination, including but not limited to the following policies or practices:
  - (a) constructed and maintained a website that is inaccessible to blind class members with knowledge of the discrimination; and/or
  - (b) constructed and maintained a website that is sufficiently intuitive and/or obvious that is inaccessible to blind class members; and/or
  - (c) failed to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind class members.

41. Defendant utilizes standards, criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating or perpetuating the discrimination of others.
42. Because of Defendant's denial of full and equal access to, and enjoyment of, the goods, benefits and services of Acaacupuncture.com, Plaintiff and the class have suffered an injury-in-fact which is concrete and particularized and actual and is a direct result of Defendant's conduct.

### **CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS**

43. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, seeks certification of the following nationwide class pursuant to Rule 23(a) and 23(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure: "all legally blind individuals in the United States who have attempted to access Acaacupuncture.com and as a result have been denied access to the enjoyment of goods and services offered by Acaacupuncture.com, during the relevant statutory period."
44. Plaintiff seeks certification of the following New York subclass pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 23(a), 23(b)(2), and, alternatively, 23(b)(3): "all legally blind individuals in New York State who have attempted to access Acaacupuncture.com and as a result have been denied access to the enjoyment of goods and services offered by Acaacupuncture.com, during the relevant statutory period."
45. There are hundreds of thousands of visually-impaired persons in New York State. There are approximately 8.1 million people in the United States who are visually-impaired. *Id.* Thus, the persons in the class are so numerous that joinder of all such persons is impractical and the disposition of their claims in a class action is a benefit to the parties and to the Court.
46. This case arises out of Defendant's policy and practice of maintaining an inaccessible website denying blind persons access to the goods and services of Acaacupuncture.com. Due to Defendant's

policy and practice of failing to remove access barriers, blind persons have been and are being denied full and equal access to independently browse, select and shop on Acaacupuncture.com.

47. There are common questions of law and fact common to the class, including without limitation, the following:

(a) Whether Acaacupuncture.com is a “public accommodation” under the ADA;

(b) Whether Acaacupuncture.com is a “place or provider of public accommodation” under the laws of New York;

(c) Whether Defendant, through its website, Acaacupuncture.com, denies the full and equal enjoyment of its goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities in violation of the ADA; and

(d) Whether Defendant, through its website, Acaacupuncture.com, denies the full and equal enjoyment of its goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to people with visual disabilities in violation of the law of New York.

48. The claims of the named Plaintiff are typical of those of the class. The class, similar to the Plaintiff, is severely visually-impaired or otherwise blind, and claims Acupuncture Corporation of America has violated the ADA, and/or the laws of New York by failing to update or remove access barriers on their website, Acaacupuncture.com, so it can be independently accessible to the class of people who are legally blind.

49. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately represent and protect the interests of the members of the Class because Plaintiff has retained and is represented by counsel competent and experienced in complex



class action litigation, and because Plaintiff has no interests antagonistic to the members of the class. Class certification of the claims is appropriate pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(2) because Defendant has acted or refused to act on grounds generally applicable to the Class, making appropriate both declaratory and injunctive relief with respect to Plaintiff and the Class as a whole.

50. Alternatively, class certification is appropriate under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(b)(3) because questions of law and fact common to Class members clearly predominate over questions affecting only individual class members, and because a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this litigation.
51. Judicial economy will be served by maintenance of this lawsuit as a class action in that it is likely to avoid the burden that would be otherwise placed upon the judicial system by the filing of numerous similar suits by people with visual disabilities throughout the United States.
52. References to Plaintiff shall be deemed to include the named Plaintiff and each member of the class, unless otherwise indicated.

### **FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Violation of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. – Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act)*

53. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
54. Title III of the American with Disabilities Act of 1990, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(a) provides that “No individual shall be discriminated against on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of any place of public accommodation by any person who owns, leases (or leases to), or operates a place of public

accommodation.” Title III also prohibits an entity from “[u]tilizing standards or criteria or methods of administration that have the effect of discriminating on the basis of disability.” 42 U.S.C. § 12181(b)(2)(D)(I).

55. Acaacupuncture.com is a sales establishment and public accommodation within the definition of 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181(7).
56. Defendant is subject to Title III of the ADA because it owns and operates Acaacupuncture.com.
57. Under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(I), it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities or a class of individuals with disabilities the opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations of an entity.
58. Under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(1)(A)(II), it is unlawful discrimination to deny individuals with disabilities or a class of individuals with disabilities an opportunity to participate in or benefit from the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodation, which is equal to the opportunities afforded to other individuals.
59. Specifically, under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(II), unlawful discrimination includes, among other things, “a failure to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless the entity can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations.”

60. In addition, under Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12182(b)(2)(A)(III), unlawful discrimination also includes, among other things, “a failure to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with disability is excluded, denied services, segregated or otherwise treated differently than other individuals because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless the entity can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the good, service, facility, privilege, advantage, or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden.”
61. There are readily available, well-established guidelines on the Internet for making websites accessible to the blind and visually-impaired. These guidelines have been followed by other business entities in making their websites accessible, including but not limited to ensuring adequate prompting and accessible alt-text. Incorporating the basic components to make their website accessible would neither fundamentally alter the nature of Defendant’s business nor result in an undue burden to Defendant.
62. The acts alleged herein constitute violations of Title III of the ADA, 42 U.S.C. § 12101 et seq., and the regulations promulgated thereunder. Patrons of Acupuncture Corporation of America who are blind have been denied full and equal access to Acaacupuncture.com, have not been provided services that are provided to other patrons who are not disabled, and/or have been provided services that are inferior to the services provided to non-disabled patrons.
63. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy its discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.
64. As such, Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass on the basis of disability in the full and equal

enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations and/or opportunities of Acaacupuncture.com in violation of Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12181 et seq. and/or its implementing regulations.

65. Unless the Court enjoins Defendant from continuing to engage in these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass will continue to suffer irreparable harm.
66. The actions of Defendant were and are in violation of the ADA, and therefore Plaintiff invokes his statutory right to injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination.
67. Plaintiff is also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
68. Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 12188 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

### **SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Violation of New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law  
Article 15 (Executive Law § 292 et seq.))*

69. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
70. N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(a) provides that it is “an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent, or employee of any place of public accommodation . . . because of the . . . disability of any person, directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof.”

71. Acaacupuncture.com is a sales establishment and public accommodation within the definition of N.Y. Exec. Law § 292(9).
72. Defendant is subject to the New York Human Rights Law because it owns and operates Acaacupuncture.com. Defendant is a person within the meaning of N.Y. Exec. Law. § 292(1).
73. Defendant is violating N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(a) in refusing to update or remove access barriers to Acaacupuncture.com, causing Acaacupuncture.com to be completely inaccessible to the blind. This inaccessibility denies blind patrons the full and equal access to the facilities, goods and services that Defendant makes available to the non-disabled public.
74. Specifically, under N.Y. Exec. Law § unlawful discriminatory practice includes, among other things, “a refusal to make reasonable modifications in policies, practices, or procedures, when such modifications are necessary to afford facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations to individuals with disabilities, unless such person can demonstrate that making such modifications would fundamentally alter the nature of such facilities, privileges, advantages or accommodations.”
75. In addition, under N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2)(c)(II), unlawful discriminatory practice also includes, “a refusal to take such steps as may be necessary to ensure that no individual with a disability is excluded or denied services because of the absence of auxiliary aids and services, unless such person can demonstrate that taking such steps would fundamentally alter the nature of the facility, privilege, advantage or accommodation being offered or would result in an undue burden.”
76. There are readily available, well-established guidelines on the Internet for making websites accessible to the blind and visually-impaired. These guidelines have been followed by other business entities in making their website accessible, including but not limited to: adding alt-text to

graphics and ensuring that all functions can be performed by using a keyboard. Incorporating the basic components to make their website accessible would neither fundamentally alter the nature of Defendant's business nor result in an undue burden to Defendant.

77. Defendant's actions constitute willful intentional discrimination against the class on the basis of a disability in violation of the New York State Human Rights Law, N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2) in that Defendant has:

- (a) constructed and maintained a website that is inaccessible to blind class members with knowledge of the discrimination; and/or
- (b) constructed and maintained a website that is sufficiently intuitive and/or obvious that is inaccessible to blind class members; and/or
- (c) failed to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind class members.

78. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy their discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.

79. As such, Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations and/or opportunities of Acaacupuncture.com under N.Y. Exec. Law § 296(2) et seq. and/or its implementing regulations. Unless the Court enjoins Defendant from continuing to engage in these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and members of the class will continue to suffer irreparable harm.

80. The actions of Defendant were and are in violation of the New York State Human Rights Law and therefore Plaintiff invokes his right to injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination.
81. Plaintiff is also entitled to compensatory damages, as well as civil penalties and fines pursuant to N.Y. Exec. Law § 297(4)(c) et seq. for each and every offense.
82. Plaintiff is also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
83. Pursuant to N.Y. Exec. Law § 297 and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

**THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Violation of New York State Civil Rights Law,  
NY CLS Civ R, Article 4 (CLS Civ R § 40 et seq.))*

84. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.
85. Plaintiff served notice thereof upon the attorney general as required by N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 41.
86. N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40 provides that “all persons within the jurisdiction of this state shall be entitled to the full and equal accommodations, advantages, facilities, and privileges of any places of public accommodations, resort or amusement, subject only to the conditions and limitations established by law and applicable alike to all persons. No persons, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent, or employee of any such place shall directly or indirectly refuse, withhold from, or deny to any person any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges thereof . . .”

87. N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-c(2) provides that “no person because of . . . disability, as such term is defined in section two hundred ninety-two of executive law, be subjected to any discrimination in his or her civil rights, or to any harassment, as defined in section 240.25 of the penal law, in the exercise thereof, by any other person or by any firm, corporation or institution, or by the state or any agency or subdivision.”
88. Acaacupuncture.com is a sales establishment and public accommodation within the definition of N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-c(2).
89. Defendant is subject to New York Civil Rights Law because it owns and operates Acaacupuncture.com. Defendant is a person within the meaning of N.Y. Civil Law § 40-c(2).
90. Defendant is violating N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-c(2) in refusing to update or remove access barriers to Acaacupuncture.com, causing Acaacupuncture.com to be completely inaccessible to the blind. This inaccessibility denies blind patrons full and equal access to the facilities, goods and services that Defendant makes available to the non-disabled public.
91. There are readily available, well-established guidelines on the Internet for making websites accessible to the blind and visually-impaired. These guidelines have been followed by other business entities in making their website accessible, including but not limited to: adding alt-text to graphics and ensuring that all functions can be performed by using a keyboard. Incorporating the basic components to make their website accessible would neither fundamentally alter the nature of Defendant’s business nor result in an undue burden to Defendant.
92. In addition, N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 41 states that “any corporation which shall violate any of the provisions of sections forty, forty-a, forty-b or forty two . . . shall for each and every violation



thereof be liable to a penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, to be recovered by the person aggrieved thereby . . .”

93. Specifically, under N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40-d, “any person who shall violate any of the provisions of the foregoing section, or subdivision three of section 240.30 or section 240.31 of the penal law, or who shall aid or incite the violation of any of said provisions shall for each and every violation thereof be liable to a penalty of not less than one hundred dollars nor more than five hundred dollars, to be recovered by the person aggrieved thereby in any court of competent jurisdiction in the county in which the defendant shall reside . . .”
94. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy their discriminatory conduct. These violations are ongoing.
95. As such, Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and members of the proposed class on the basis of disability are being directly indirectly refused, withheld from, or denied the accommodations, advantages, facilities and privileges thereof in § 40 et seq. and/or its implementing regulations.
96. Plaintiff is entitled to compensatory damages of five hundred dollars per instance, as well as civil penalties and fines pursuant to N.Y. Civil Rights Law § 40 et seq. for each and every offense.

**FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Violation of New York City Human Rights Law,  
N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-102, et seq.)*

97. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

98. N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) provides that “it shall be an unlawful discriminatory practice for any person, being the owner, lessee, proprietor, manager, superintendent, agent or employee of any place or provider of public accommodation, because of . . . disability . . . directly or indirectly, to refuse, withhold from or deny to such person, any of the accommodations, advantages, facilities or privileges thereof.”
99. Acaacupuncture.com is a sales establishment and public accommodation within the definition of N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-102(9).
100. Defendant is subject to City Law because it owns and operates Acaacupuncture.com. Defendant is a person within the meaning of N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-102(1).
101. Defendant is violating N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) in refusing to update or remove access barriers to Acaacupuncture.com, causing Acaacupuncture.com to be completely inaccessible to the blind. This inaccessibility denies blind patrons full and equal access to the facilities, goods, and services that Defendant makes available to the non-disabled public. Specifically, Defendant is required to “make reasonable accommodation to the needs of persons with disabilities . . . any person prohibited by the provisions of [§ 8-107 et seq.] from discriminating on the basis of disability shall make reasonable accommodation to enable a person with a disability to . . . enjoy the right or rights in question provided that the disability is known or should have been known by the covered entity.” N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8107(15)(a).
102. Defendant’s actions constitute willful intentional discrimination against the class on the basis of a disability in violation of the N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) and § 8-107(15)(a) in that Defendant has:

- (a) constructed and maintained a website that is inaccessible to blind class members with knowledge of the discrimination; and/or
- (b) constructed and maintained a website that is sufficiently intuitive and/or obvious that is inaccessible to blind class members; and/or
- (c) failed to take actions to correct these access barriers in the face of substantial harm and discrimination to blind class members.

103. Defendant has failed to take any prompt and equitable steps to remedy their discriminatory conduct.

These violations are ongoing.

104. As such, Defendant discriminates, and will continue in the future to discriminate against Plaintiff and members of the proposed class and subclass on the basis of disability in the full and equal enjoyment of the goods, services, facilities, privileges, advantages, accommodations and/or opportunities of Acaacupuncture.com under N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107(4)(a) and/or its implementing regulations. Unless the Court enjoins Defendant from continuing to engage in these unlawful practices, Plaintiff and members of the class will continue to suffer irreparable harm.

105. The actions of Defendant were and are in violation of City law and therefore Plaintiff invokes his right to injunctive relief to remedy the discrimination.

106. Plaintiff is also entitled to compensatory damages, as well as civil penalties and fines under N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-120(8) and § 8-126(a) for each offense.

107. Plaintiff is also entitled to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.

108. Pursuant to N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-120(8) and § 8-126(a) and the remedies, procedures, and rights set forth and incorporated therein, Plaintiff prays for judgment as set forth below.

**FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION**

*(Declaratory Relief)*

109. Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the Class Members, repeats and realleges every allegation of the preceding paragraphs as if fully set forth herein.

110. An actual controversy has arisen and now exists between the parties in that Plaintiff contends, and is informed and believes that Defendant denies, that Acaacupuncture.com contains access barriers denying blind customers the full and equal access to the goods, services and facilities of Acaacupuncture.com, which Acupuncture Corporation of America owns, operates and/or controls, fails to comply with applicable laws including, but not limited to, Title III of the American with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq., N.Y. Exec. Law § 296, et seq., and N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107, et seq. prohibiting discrimination against the blind.

111. A judicial declaration is necessary and appropriate at this time in order that each of the parties may know their respective rights and duties and act accordingly.

**PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff respectfully demands judgment in favor of Plaintiff and the class and against the Defendants as follows:

- a) A preliminary and permanent injunction to prohibit Defendant from violating the Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq., N.Y. Exec. Law § 296, et seq., and N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107, et seq., and the laws of New York;

- b) A preliminary and permanent injunction requiring Defendant to take all the steps necessary to make its website, Acaacupuncture.com, into full compliance with the requirements set forth in the ADA, and its implementing regulations, so that Acaacupuncture.com is readily accessible to and usable by blind individuals;
- c) A declaration that Defendant owns, maintains and/or operates its website, Acaacupuncture.com, in a manner which discriminates against the blind and which fails to provide access for persons with disabilities as required by Americans with Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12182, et seq., N.Y. Exec. Law § 296, et seq., and N.Y.C. Administrative Code § 8-107, et seq., and the laws of New York;
- d) An order certifying this case as a class action under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23(a) & (b)(2) and/or (b)(3), appointing Plaintiff as Class Representative, and his attorneys as Class Counsel;
- e) An order directing Defendants to continually update and maintain its website to ensure that it remains fully accessible to and usable by the visually-impaired;
- f) Compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by proof, including all applicable statutory damages and fines, to Plaintiff and the proposed class for violations of their civil rights under New York State Human Rights Law and City Law;
- g) Plaintiff's reasonable attorneys' fees, expenses, and costs of suit as provided by state and federal law;
- h) For pre- and post-judgment interest to the extent permitted by law; and

i) For such other and further relief which this court deems just and proper.

Dated: Manhasset, NY

February 11, 2025

GABRIEL A. LEVY, P.C.

*Attorney for Plaintiff*

*/s/ Gabriel A. Levy, Esq.*

By: GABRIEL A. LEVY, ESQ (5488655)

1129 Northern Blvd, Suite 404

Manhasset, NY 11030

Tel: +1 347-941-4715

Email: Glevyfirm@gmail.com